## **SUMMONS ISSUED**

CV 12 3851

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

FILED
IN CLERK'S OFFICE
U.S. DISTRICT COURT E.D.N.Y

ROBERT NOVAK,

Plaintiff,

VS.

Defendants,

Eagle Auto Mall Corp., Eagle Auto Mall Sales,
Teachers Federal Credit, Kia Motors Finance,
Ally Financial Inc., Chase Auto Finance Corp,
Santander Consumer USA d/b/a Drive Financial
Services.

Case No.:

★ AUG 0 0 2012

CIVIL ACTION

LONG ISLAND OFFICE

\*

BIANCO, J.

DEMAND FOR JUNE THAT SAY, M

## COMPLAINT

Robert Novak (hereinafter "Plaintiff") Upon information and belief, Plaintiff alleges that all the Defendant's are responsible for the wrongful acts alleged herein and are responsible for the injuries and damages caused to Plaintiff.

## **JURISDICTION**

Pursuant to <u>pursuant to 15 U.S.C.</u> § 1681 et seq., the "Fair Credit Reporting Act (FCRA) 28 U.S.C. §1331 and this court has subject matter jurisdiction in the form of a federal question and <u>1367(a)</u> on the State law claims.

## **VENUE**

Venue is appropriate in this court under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 (b-c) and 1395 (a) because a substantial amount of the acts and omissions giving rise to this lawsuit occurred in this district. Defendant's Eagle Auto Mall Corp., Eagle Auto Mall Sales, Teachers Federal Credit, Kia Motors Finance, Ally Financial Inc., Chase Auto Finance Corp, Santander Consumer USA d/b/a

Drive Financial Services, conducted and engaged in substantial, systematic, and continuous business activities herein New York.

## **PARTIES**

Eagle Auto Mall Corp., and Eagle Auto Mall Sales Inc, (a/k/a 700 Credit/Eagle Auto) are domestic corporations organized and existing under the laws of the State of New York, located at 1320 OLD CONTRY RD. RIVERHEAD, NY 11901

Teachers Federal Credit Union, organized and existing under the laws of the State of New York 2410 N OCEAN AVE. FARMINGVILLE, NY 11738 and 102 Motor Parkway in Hauppauge, NY, and at all times relevant hereto, regularly conducted business in New York.

Kia Motors Finance a/k/a KIA MOTORS AMERICA, INC. 111 PETERS CANYON RD IRVINE, CALIFORNIA, 92606 a foreign corporation authorized to do business in the State of New York, and continues to conduct business, and at all times relevant hereto, regularly conducted business in New York. ALLY FINANCIAL INC., 200 RENAISSANCE CTR. DETROIT, MICHIGAN, 48265 a foreign corporation authorized to do business in te State of New York, and continues to conduct business, and at all times relevant hereto, regularly conducted business in New York.

Chase Auto Finance Corp, a foreign corporation authorized to do business in the State of New York located at 900 STEWART AVE., GARDEN CITY, NY 11530, and continues to conduct business, and at all times relevant hereto, regularly conducted business in New York.

Santander Consumer USA Inc. d/b/a Drive Financial Services. a foreign corporation authorized to do business in the State of New York located at 8585 NORTH STEMMONS FRWY., STE 1100 N., DALLAS, TEXAS, 75247, and continues to conduct business, and at all times relevant hereto, regularly conducted business in New York.

Robert Novak a consumer resides in Suffolk County New York.

## FACTUAL BACKGROUND

1.Plaintiff entered into a **phone order** purchase agreement (Looking at exhibit "A" lower left of form it was clearly written "phone order", it is also unsigned) with Eagle Auto Mall Corp. and or and Eagle Auto Mall Sales (herein after collectively Eagle) on or about April 19, 2012 for a new 2011 Mazda. That order was not signed by Plaintiff. See Exhibit "A", Plaintiff desired to make the purchase without financing but was coerced by an offer of zero interest finance from Mazda Direct thru Defendant Eagle. It was agreed provided that Eagle would make only one thru Mazda exclusively as the only credit inquiry made to preserve Plaintiffs credit by not running multiple HARD credit inquiries. This point was made abundantly clear to the salesmen, sales manager and credit manager employed by Eagle.

2.Eagle obtained Plaintiff's credit report themselves two times on April 20<sup>th</sup> 2012 without my knowledge or authorization implied or written. (it should be noted that Eagle is not a lender) Eagle had no meaningful reason or need to do Thereafter, without authorization or knowledge of Robert Novak (herein after RN) six other lending institutions made twelve credit inquiries as plaintiffs credit report indicates. See Exhibit "B"

On April 20<sup>th</sup> Defendant Chase bank, ran a report

On April 25<sup>th</sup> Defendant Chase bank, ran two more reports, again the same Defendant ran three additional reports on April 30<sup>th</sup>. Therefore, **Chase ran a total of six credit reports**.

On April 25<sup>th</sup> Defendant Teachers Federal Credit pulled a credit report.

On April 25<sup>th</sup> Defendant Kia Motors pulled a credit report.

On April 30 th Defendant Ally Financial pulled a credit report.

On April 30 th Defendant Stander Auto pulled a credit report.

On April 30 <sup>th</sup> AmeriCredit pulled a credit report. Upon Plaintiffs request they determined that the application was submitted without my knowledge in a fraudulent manor and requested the appropriate reporting agencies to remove their inquiries. Moreover, regretting that Plaintiff was a victim. See exhibit "C".

3.A total of 14 HARD credit inquiries were made to the CRA's, two have since been removed by Americredit.

- 4.All Defendants' were sent similar requests on or about April 30<sup>th</sup>. Defendant Teachers replied on May 21<sup>st</sup> failing to provide copies of any written authorization by Plaintiff but stated an application was submitted *indirectly* thru Eagle declining to remove their inquiries, suggesting I seek such authorization from Eagle. Eagle replied claiming they had verbal authorization. See exhibit "D" for both replies.
  - 5. Plaintiff did not receive a response from the remaining Defendants.
- 6.Plaintiff was advised by a salesman at Eagle a loan was approved for 9%, Plaintiff declined seeking the zero interest rate first offered and promised. He said he would see if he could get that zero interest rate, later informing RN that the 9% offer was withdrawn and the best rate was now 20%.
  - 7. Plaintiff canceled the sale & was refunded his deposit.
- 8.Plaintiff is a subscriber to a credit service company that monitors any activity on his credit. Plaintiff received an alert on April 30<sup>th</sup> that several institutions had accessed his credit, without his written authorization.
- 9.A total of not less than fourteen (14) HARD credit inquiries to Trans Union, Experian and Equifax see exhibit "B". All of the Defendants' were mailed a request for removal for example Exhibit "E".

These negative credit references in RN's credit reports will indicate to future entities that may extend credit that RN is poor credit risk when in fact he is not. RN even if approved will pay higher interest rates or denied in many ways.

Had the defendants complied as did Americredit in removing their CRA's inquiries, Robert Novak could have avoided the action herein.

# COUNT ONE FALSE LIGHT

(Against all Defendants')

Plaintiff re-alleges and reincorporates each and every allegation contained in the General Allegations and all previous paragraphs of all previous sections and Causes of Action in this Complaint, inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.

11. The Defendants furnished inaccurate information to the three major credit reporting agency as follows: Trans Union, Experian and Equifax (" hereinafter CRA").

Defendants knowingly submitted false and inaccurate information which did not reflect the Plaintiff's true and factual authorized credit history. Defendant's knew or should have known that their willful inquiries without specific written authorization is a misrepresentation to the CRAs as such would have an adverse effect on Plaintiff's credit rating, destroy his ability to obtain credit for the length of at least 2 years time or as such inquires remain on the CRA reports, resulting in paying higher interest rates for any future lending due the fact that such inquiries reduce my credit score and also would affect my ability to find gainful employment or rent property.

12.Defendants knew or should have known the detrimental effects such false information being made available to the public would cause Plaintiff. Defendants presented Plaintiff in a false light when they disseminated highly prejudicial, false, and inaccurate information in a public forum. Defendants' actions were negligent, and their refusal to retract the inquiries they submitted to the CRAs show a callous indifference to the harm it caused Plaintiff to experience. Plaintiff already possesses clear and convincing evidence the Defendants knew the publication would create a false impression regarding Plaintiff's inquiry history and cause him irreparable harm.

13.As a direct and proximate cause of the Defendants presenting the Plaintiff in a false light Plaintiff has endure a great amount of emotional stress, and financial hardship. Plaintiff contends Defendants' actions were so egregious that a reasonable person subjected to the same circumstances would also be highly offended by such conduct.

14.One of the basic principles of agency laws states that the principle becomes vicariously liable for all acts of its agent. Eagle failed to supervise or correct the errors and misrepresentation of its agent the lending banks. Plaintiff, alleges Eagle is culpable for breach of the contract and therefore is liable for damages incurred by Plaintiff as a direct and proximate cause of all Defendants misrepresentations, omissions, and negligence.

COUNT TWO FALSE PROMISE

(Against Defendant Eagle)

- 15. Plaintiff re-alleges and reincorporates each and every allegation contained in the General Allegations and all previous paragraphs of all previous sections and Causes of Action in this Complaint, inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.
  - 16.Eagle knew their promise was of critical importance to RN. RN informed Eagle and ir's staff that he planned on future lending both for business and personal needs. It was imperative his credit history not have more than one hard inquiry with the CRA's.
  - 17.Eagle was made aware of the importance of keeping their promise to only report one inquiry. Eagle assured the Plaintiff that they would keep their promise. Neither Eagle nor, its affiliated lenders made good on their promise to the RN.
  - 18.Eagle made a promise to RN that a zero percent auto loan be easily obtained due to their relationship with Mazda credit as a Mazda dealer.
  - 19. Eagle's actions were a direct and approximate cause of harm to RN.

# COUNT THREE VIOLATION OF THE FAIR CREDIT REPORTING ACT (15 USC§1681)

(Against all Defendant's)

- 20.Plaintiff re-alleges and reincorporates each and every allegation contained in the General Allegations and all previous paragraphs of all previous sections and Causes of Action in this Complaint, inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.
  - 21.Plaintiff is a consumer within the meaning of 15 USC §1692a(3). A "consumer report" is a written, oral, or other communication of any information to a consumer reporting agency bearing on a consumer's credit worthiness, credit standing, credit capacity, in establishing the consumer's eligibility for the extension of credit and employment purposes.
  - 22.A consumer reporting agency includes in its public reports more than credit history reporting as well as other credit worthy information. Private investigators and persons and agencies that perform employee background checks qualify as well.

Requirement For Furnishers To Update Records:

23.Defendants are corporations who regularly furnish information to the CRAs. Under the

Fair Credit Reporting Act ("hereinafter FCRA"), 15 USC §1681s-2. Furnishers must

change records, delete records, or permanently block reported information that is found to

be inaccurate or incomplete. Defendants violated 15 USC §1681 by refusing to correct the

reporting errors it submitted to the CRAs even after the Plaintiff brought the errors to

Defendants attention.

24. Instead of retracting the unauthorized inquiries and removing the inaccurate furnished

in the April 2012 CRA reports, Defendants elected to violate the law by failing to comply

with the requirements imposed under FCRA, including but not limited to, failing to follow

reasonable procedures to assure maximum possible accuracy of the information reported

to the CRA's regarding Plaintiff's.

25.Defendants continued to harm Plaintiff by accessing his credit without his knowledge

and consent. Consumer law prohibits Defendants or any for that matter anyone from

accessing Plaintiff's credit report without his prior written consent.

26. The Fair Isaac credit scoring model is used in the credit reporting industry to generate a

consumer credit scores based on information obtain about a consumer. Scores are

determined by different factors which are calculated as percentages. Defendant's

negatively impacted Plaintiff's credit score in the following areas: making multiple hard

credit inquiries.

27. Chase bank making five inquiries is the worst offender in this regard, why would any

competent credit officer make so many?

28.As a result of Defendant's violations of the FCRA, RN's future lending approvals and

rates will be impaired. His personal and business reputations have been severely tarnished.

Defendants were a direct and proximate cause of harm to the Plaintiff.

**COUNT FOUR** 

Violation of 15 U.S.C. §1681b

## (Against All Defendant's)

- 28.Plaintiff re-alleges and reincorporates each and every allegation contained in the General Allegations and all previous paragraphs of all previous sections and Causes of Action in this Complaint, inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.
- 29. Defendants violated 15 U.S.C. §1681b by not following those requirements.
- 15 U.S.C. §1681b. Permissible purposes of consumer reports
- (a) In general
- Subject to subsection (c) of this section, any consumer reporting agency may furnish a consumer report under the following circumstances and no other:
  - (2) In accordance with the written instructions of the consumer to whom it relates.
- (c) Furnishing reports in connection with credit or insurance transactions that are not initiated by consumer
- (1) In general
- A consumer reporting agency may furnish a consumer report relating to any consumer pursuant to subparagraph (A) or (C) of subsection (a)(3) of this section in connection with any credit or insurance transaction that is not initiated by the consumer only if—
- (A) the consumer authorizes the agency to provide such report to such person; or
- (B)(i) the transaction consists of a firm offer of credit or insurance;
- 30.Defendant's breach of the terms of the original agreement was the direct and approximate cause of harm to Plaintiff.

# COUNT FIVE NEGLIGENT NONDISCLOSURE BY FIDUCIARY

(Against All Defendant's)

- 31.Plaintiff re-alleges and reincorporates each and every allegation contained in the General Allegations and all previous paragraphs of all previous sections and Causes of Action in this Complaint, inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.
  - 32.A fiduciary relationship existed between the Plaintiff and the Defendant Eagle that required the highest good faith and the undivided service and loyalty. Defendant's possessed information material to Plaintiff's interest. Defendant knew or should have known that the unauthorized false information furnished to the CRAs was of material interest to Plaintiff ability to financing in the future.

- 33.Defendant's failed to disclose this material information to RN and concealed the fact they intended to request unauthorized loan requests to all the defendants.
- 34. This nondisclosure caused Plaintiff to suffer injury, damage, loss and harm. Defendants concealment of material facts was a direct and proximate cause of harm to the Plaintiff.

# COUNT SIX PROFESSIONAL NEGLIGENCE

(Against Defendants)

- 35.Plaintiff re-alleges and reincorporates each and every allegation contained in the General Allegations and all previous paragraphs of all previous sections and Causes of Action in this Complaint, inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.
  - 36. The Defendant had a legal duty to conform to a standard duty of conduct as all other lenders practicing in the same profession. The Defendants are automobile lenders that perform professional services for consumers.
  - 37.Defendants failed to conform to the standard duty of conduct that all other lenders practicing in the same profession adhere to when accepting credit applications for loans; a written authorization to obtain a credit report.
  - 38.Eagle without authorization of RN on April 20<sup>th</sup> and 25<sup>th</sup> 2012 had submitted RN loan to three banks on that same date. Again on April 30<sup>th</sup> 2012 went to three additional banks/lenders. This was done without the knowledge or authorization of RN.

## COUNT SEVEN BREACH OF CONTRACT

(Against all Defendants')

- 39.Plaintiff re-alleges and reincorporates each and every allegation contained in the General Allegations and all previous paragraphs of all previous sections and Causes of Action in this Complaint, inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.
  - 40.Plaintiff and Defendant Eagle entered into a purchase contract on April 16, 2012
  - 41. Eagle breached the contract when it went to multiple banks/lenders window shopping RN auto loan. These actions on the part of Eagle was a dereliction of it duty to service, or,

insure that its employees and or agents properly filed Plaintiffs loan application to ONLY Mazda Credit.

- 42.All other defendant banks/lenders acted without the express written authorization to evaluate and obtain credit reports.
- 43. Plaintiff alleges that Defendants breach of the contract caused Plaintiff irreparable harm for which he should be compensated and for which the Defendants should be punished. Defendant's breach of the terms of the original agreement was the direct and approximate cause of harm to Plaintiff.

## COUNT EIGHT BREACH OF THE IMPLIED COVENT OF GOOD FAITH AND FAIR DEALING

44.Plaintiff re-alleges and reincorporates each and every allegation contained in the General Allegations and all previous paragraphs of all previous sections and Causes of Action in this Complaint, inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.

45.Defendants are subject to the principle of a Implied Covenant of Good Faith and Fair Dealing which is inherent in all contracts and loan agreements entered into in New York. A lender has a duty to exercise discretion in good faith within the standard of care and fair dealings.

46.As a result of the breach of the Implied Covenant of Good Faith and Fair Dealing as set forth above, Plaintiff has been damaged and seeks any and all compensation to which he is entitled as Defendants' actions resulted in the direct and proximate cause of harm to Plaintiff.

# COUNT NINE Deceptive acts and practices N.Y. GBS. LAW § 349

(Against all Defendant's)

46.Plaintiff re-alleges and reincorporates each and every allegation contained in the General Allegations and all previous paragraphs of all previous sections and Causes of Action in this Complaint, inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.

- 47. The actions of the Defendants, which is described above constitutes an is incorporated herein by reference, are engaged in a deceptive act or practice, that the challenged act or practice was consumer-oriented,
- 48.As a result of Defendants deceptive act and or practice in violation of §349 the plaintiff suffered an injury as a result there of. Plaintiff seeks all monetary relief to which he is entitled.
- 49.Plaintiff alleges that Defendant's illegal actions, unethical behavior, and callous attitude inflicted a tremendous amount of harm and hardship on Plaintiff. Defendant's actions have gone beyond the pale and should be considered worthy of not only the award actual and compensatory damages, but, also punitive and exemplary damages. Defendant's actions were the direct and proximate cause of harm to Plaintiff.

## **COUNT TEN**

## Violation of Article 25 - Fair Credit Reporting Act

50.Defendants knowingly and willfully obtained information from all the CRA's under false pretences.

## § 380-c

- § 380-l. Civil liability for willful noncompliance. Any person, firm, partnership, corporation, or association whose knowing and willful violation of section three hundred eighty-s of this article resulted in the transmission or provision to a consumer reporting agency of information that would otherwise not have been transmitted or provided, and any consumer reporting agency or user of information who or which willfully and knowingly fails to comply with any requirement imposed under this article with respect to any consumer is liable to that consumer in an amount equal to the sum of:
- (a) Any actual damages sustained by the consumer as a result of such failure or as a result of a violation of section three hundred eighty-s of this article;
  - (b) Such amount of punitive damages as the court may allow; and
- (c) In the case of any successful action to enforce any liability under this section, the costs of the action together with reasonable attorney's fees as determined by the court.
- § 380-m. Civil liability for negligent noncompliance. Any consumer reporting agency or user of information who or which is negligent in failing to comply with any requirement imposed under this article, other

than a violation of section three hundred eighty-t of this article, with respect to any consumer is liable to that consumer in an amount equal to the sum of:

- (a) Any actual damages sustained by the consumer as a result of the failure;
- (b) In the case of any successful action to enforce any liability under this section, the costs of the action together with reasonable attorney's fees as determined by the court.
- § 380-o. Obtaining or introducing information under false pretenses; penalty. 1. Any person who knowingly and willfully obtains information concerning a consumer from a consumer reporting agency under false pretenses shall, upon conviction, be fined not more than five thousand dollars or imprisoned not more than one year, or both.
- 51. As a result of Defendant's violations of the FCRA, RN's future lending approvals and rates will be impaired. His personal and business reputations have been severely tarnished. Defendants were a direct and proximate cause of harm to the Plaintiff.

## PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief on all counts against all Defendant's as follows:

- (a) On Counts 1-10, for all compensatory, consequential, special, actual, incidental, statutory and general damages according to proof at trial.
- (b) Defendants submission of a permanent letter of deletion to the CRAs which would instruct the CRAs to delete all inquiries reports made them relating to Plaintiff's credit file as well as remitting Plaintiff a copy of the deletion letter.
- (c) Post judgment and prejudgment interest as allowed by law.
- (d) For attorneys fees under and any other applicable statute and case law. All out of pocket attorneys fees and costs incurred.
- (e) For all other relief that the Court deems just and proper.
- (f) Demand for Jury trial.
- (g) For cost of suit.

Dated this 3<sup>rd</sup> day of August 2012
Respectfully submitted by:

Robert Novak, Pro Se
P.O. Box 400
Calverton, NY 11933
Ph: 631.338.1048

EXHIBIT "A"

CHRYSLER

E@age 12:421cv-03851-JM1

EXHIBIT "B"





Return to usaa.com

#### MEMBER RESOURCES

Dispute Center Credit Resources Credit Articles Frequently Asked Questions Glossarv Log Out

#### REFRESH REPORT

🗳 Free with Membership

#### **ARE YOU AT RISK** FOR ID THEFT?

💸 Take our quiz now

#### ACTIVE DUTY STATUS

Set your duty status

#### **EDIT PROFILE**

😂 Update your info

#### CONTACT CUSTOMER CARE

Call us at 1-866-751-1320 Monday-Friday 7:30am-9:00pm Saturday 10:00am-6:00pm Central

We can also be reached by email

CREDIT CENTER

MONITORING ALERTS

CREDIT SCORE CREDIT REPORT IDENTITY PROTECTION

RESOURCES

#### Your Credit Report

#### PREPARED FOR: ROBERT NOVAK • 8/2/2012

Welcome to your credit report. The itemized entries contained within it influence your credit score, which can be a good reflection of how prospective creditors may see you. Take a moment to make sure it's accurate - it's your right to dispute information that you feel is inaccurate.

- View Archived Reports
- Credit Report Guide
- Printable Report
- Dispute Information
- View More Report Details

When you give permission to pull your credit report a hard inquiry is created, which can affect your credit score. Checking your own credit report is a soft inquiry and will not affect your credit score. Inquiries remain on your report for up to two years. Who might ask you for permission to pull a credit report?

- · Credit card, mortgage, loan or other lenders
- · Potential employers
- · Potential landlords

Sort By Date

#### CAPITAL ONE/HSBC

800-695-6950 26525 NORTH RIVERWOODS BLVD

METTAWA, IL 60045

Experian<sup>®</sup> Equifax<sup>®</sup> TransUnion® CAPITAL ONE/HSBC Business Name 5/5/2012 Inquiry Date Business Type All Banks

#### **ALLY FINANCIAL**

No Phone Provided PO BOX 12699 GLENDALE, AZ 85318

Experian® **Equifax**® TransUnion® Business Name ALLY FINANCIAL Inquiry Date 4/30/2012 Business Type Auto Financing Companies

### CHASE

800-869-7720 900 STEWART AVE FL 3 GARDEN CITY, NY 11530

Experian® Equifax® TransUnion® Business Name CHASE CHASE Inquiry Date 4/30/2012 4/30/2012 Business Type All Banks - non specific Banks and \$&Ls

## **CHASE AUTO**

516-745-3622 900 STEWART AVE 3RD FLOOR GARDEN CITY, NY 11530

Equifax® Experian® TransUnion® Business Name CHASE AUTO 4/30/2012 Inquiry Date Business Type All Banks

#### **SANTANDER AUTO**

800-861-6743 8585 NORTH STEMMONS FWY STE 1100-NORTH DALLAS, TX 75247

Experian<sup>®</sup> Equifax® TransUnion®

SANTANDER AUTO

1 of 3

		:		:	4/30/2013	
Home	About Us	Contact Us	Inquiry Date Terms & Conditions  Business Type	rivacy Policy	4/30/2012 Auto Financing	:
			business Type			
©2012 C	onsumerInfo.com	, Inc.				No Phone Provided
	CHASE 900 STE					900 STEWART AVE FL 6 GARDEN CITY, NY 11530
		:		Experian®	Equifax®	TransUnion®
			Business Name	CHASE		CHASE
			Inquiry Date	4/25/2012		4/25/2012
			Business Type	. All Banks - non specific		Banks and S&Ls
			Data in Cos Type		And the second	
			KIA MOTORS FINANCE 866-331-5632 PO BOX 20815			
						FOUNTAIN VALLEY, CA 92728
				Experian®	Equifax <sup>®</sup>	TransUnion®
			Business Name	KIA MOTORS FINANCE		
			· Inquiry Date	4/25/2012		:
			Business Type	Auto Financing Companies		•
				ine e di en ine		
		;	TEACHER'S FC	516-698-7000 2410 N OCEAN AVE		
						PO8 9029 FARMINGVILLE, NY 11738
				Experian®	Equifax <sup>®</sup>	TransUnion <sup>®</sup>
			Business Name			TEACHER'S FC
		:	Inquiry Date	•		4/25/2012
			Business Type			Finance, personal
						Talance, personal
			700 CREDIT/EAGLE AUTO 631-727-0700 1320 OLD CONTRY RE RIVERHEAD, NY 11901			
				Experian <sup>®</sup>	Equifax®	TransUnion <sup>®</sup>
			Business Name	700 CREDIT/EAGLE AUTO	- <b>4</b>	EAGLE AUTO M
		:	Inquiry Date	4/20/2012		4/20/2012
			Business Type	Automobile Dealers, Used		Automotive
		:	ousiness Type	Automobile Deblers, Osed		Automotive
			CHASE  No Phone Provided 900 STEWART AVENUE 6TH FLOOR GARDEN CITY, NY 11530			
				Experian <sup>®</sup>	Equifax <sup>®</sup>	TransUnion®
		:	Business Name	- Apolium	Equilax	CHASE
			Inquiry Date		•	4/20/2012
		:	Business Type			Banks and S&Ls
		:	Dusiness Type			Banks and Sats
		GLOBAL PAYMENTS			<b>443-394-1000</b> 10705 RED RUN BLVD OWINGS MILLS, MD 21117	
			:	<b>5</b> 0	e. ®	
		:	_ :	Experian <sup>®</sup>	Equifax®	TransUnion <sup>®</sup>
		:	Business Name		GLOBAL PAYMENTS	r.
			Inquiry Date		2/13/2012	!
			Business Type		All Banks	
		i				
			CAP ONE No Phone Provid PO BOX 303 SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84			
				Experian <sup>®</sup>	Equifax®	. TransUnion®
			Business Name	CAP ONE	· -	CAP ONE
			Inquiry Date	11/10/2011	į	
						11/10/2011
		:	Business Туре	Bank Credit Cards	1	Banks and S&Ls
			CAPITAL ONE BANK U	JSA	No Phone Provided 15000 CAPITAL ONE DRIVE PO# 12000-0000130428 RICHMOND, VA 23238	
		*		Experian <sup>®</sup>	Equifax <sup>®</sup>	TransUnion®





4 Return to usaa.com

CREDIT CENTER

MONITORING ALERTS

CREDIT SCORE

CREDIT REPORT

IDENTITY PROTECTION

RESOURCES

#### MEMBER RESOURCES

Dispute Center Credit Resources Credit Articles Frequently Asked Questions Glossarv Log Out

#### REFRESH REPORT

@ Free with Membership

#### ARE YOU AT RISK FOR ID THEFT?

🤯 Take our quiz now

#### **ACTIVE DUTY STATUS**

Set your duty status

#### **EDIT PROFILE**

😂 Update your info

#### CONTACT CUSTOMER CARE

Call us at 1-866-751-1320 Monday-Friday 7:30am-9:00pm, Saturday 10:00am-6:00pm Central

We can also be reached by email



## My Score

See details of your credit score, and more!

> Go to My Credit Score

My Experian 740 Credit Score: \* See All 3 Credit Scores

View Full Size Graph >

## You Have No Alerts

Your Alerts show you key changes to your credit report(s) including new activity and changes to your Experian® Credit Score.

Alerts Emailed to: PetsWarehouse@Gmail.com Fcht

#### You have no new Alerts.

We have monitored your credit reports from the three major bureaus (Experian, TransUnion and Equifax) and have not detected any key changes since you last logged in. If we do detect any changes, we will send you an email alert with a link to help you investigate any potential errors or suspicious

#### These are some of the areas we monitor:

New Accounts New Inquiries Court Judgements Late/Missed Payments Collection Accounts

## My Credit Summary

See the same type of information lenders see when assessing your credit. Your Credit Report is current as of 8/2/2012.

\* Go to My Credit Report

CREDIT AND DEBT REGATIVE INFORMATION LENGTH OF HISTORY CREDIT INQUIRIES ACCOUNT TYPES

Hard Inquiries, hard Impact. A "Hard inquiry" is created every time you apply or inquire about new credit or refinancing. Too many hard inquiries are seen as an indication that you're trying to overspend, and they stay on your report for two years!

Experian®

Equifax®

Transunion®

Hard Inquiries:

Hard Inquiries:

Hard Inquiries:

3

#### **GETTING YOUR REPORT IS NOT A HARD INQUIRY**

Checking your own credit is not a hard inquiry. An employer doing a background check is not a hard inquiry. In fact, "Hard Inquiries" are only those occasions where you've applied for credit and that creditor or lender requests your report to make a lending decision,

Remember - ask yourself before signing a credit application, is this a decision I want to live with for the

\* Previous Tab: Length of History

Next Tab: Account Types >

Home

About Us

Contact Us

Terms & Conditions

Privacy Policy

©2012 ConsumerInfo.com, Inc.



May 24, 2012

Robert Novak 200 South Path PO Box 400 Calverton, NY 11933

RE: AmeriCredit Inquiry Date: 4/30/2012

Dear Robert Novak:

Please accept this letter as formal notification that AmeriCredit Financial Services has completed its investigation into the alleged fraud committed by using your personal information to submit an application to AmeriCredit.

AmeriCredit has determined that the application was submitted without your knowledge. Therefore, AmeriCredit has submitted a request to the appropriate reporting agencies to remove the above listed inquiry from your credit report. Please be advised it can take credit reporting agencies four to six weeks to update your credit report.

We regret that you were a victim in this matter and hope this remedy will be of assistance. Please be assured we are taking all actions possible to resolve it appropriately. Thank you for your cooperation and assistance with this matter.

For any questions please contact the Customer Service Department at 1-800-284-2271.

Hours of Operation: Monday through Thursday, 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. CT Friday, 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. CT Saturday, 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. CT

Sincerely,

**GM Financial** 

**Customer Service Department** 

EXHIBIT "D"



The Educated Choice

102 Motor Parkway • Hauppauge, NY 11788 Tel: 631-698-7000 • Fax: 631-698-7004 www.teachersfcu.org

May 21, 2012

Robert Novak 200 South Path PO Box 400 Calverton, NY 11933

RE: Credit Inquiry 4/25/2012

Dear Mr. Novak,

Thank you for your recent inquiry. Your letter was forwarded to my attention.

On April 25, 2012, an Indirect auto loan application was submitted to TFCU through Eagle Chevrolet. Eagle Chevrolet maintains all paperwork. Kindly speak with them to obtain copies of the paperwork that you are requesting.

The dealership is located at:

1330 Old Country Road Riverhead, NY 11901

(631) 727-1100

Sincerely,

Stephanie L. Davies

Consumer Lending Manager

# LaBonte Law Group

Stevan H. LaBonte Eva J. Tompkins 100 Ring Road West, Ste. 108
Garden City NY 11530
T: 516-280-8580 • 631.579.6462
F: 631.794.2434
www.LaBonteLawGroup.com

of Counsel:
Eric C. Ruland
Lee A. Schwartz
Richard Simon
Christine M. Staiano

June 8, 2012

Mr. Robert Novak 200 South Path P.O. Box 400 Calverton, NY 11933

Re: Credit Inquiries & Eagle Auto Mall

Dear Mr. Novak:

This firm represents Eagle Auto Mall ("Eagle"). I am in receipt of your letter dated April 30, 2012 in which you allege that Eagle performed unauthorized credit inquires under your name.

Please be advised that Eagle in no way conducted any unauthorized credit inquires involving your name. Eagle vehemently denies any violation of the Fair Credit Reporting Act or any other federal or state law or regulation. Any and all credit inquires were done at your request and with your express authorization. On or before April 20, 2012 you provided verbal authorization to representatives of Eagle in order to secure financing for the purchase of a motor vehicle. During the phone conversation the Eagle employee informed you that your personal information would be provided to the credit source utilized by Eagle and sent to multiple sources to solicit the best possible credit offer. In order to determine the best credit rates available the credit application is simultaneously forwarded to several lenders. Accordingly, Eagle has not done anything improper.

Eagle has not made any further inquires into your credit history subsequent to the submission of the original application and will not make any future attempts to solicit credit offers on your behalf.

Very truly yours,

LaBONTE LAW GFROUP .. PLLC

STEVANTE. LaBONTE

EXHIBIT "E"

AMERICREDIT 801 CHERRY ST SUITE 3900 FT WORTH, TX 76102

Robert Novak 200 South Path PO Box 400 Calverton NY 11933 4/30/2012

RE: Unauthorized Credit Inquires

To Whom It May Concern,

This letter is a notice to cease unauthorized inquires into my credit report and a formal demand that you immediately contact the credit reporting agencies and bureaus to have your illegal inquiries removed. While checking my personal credit report from all three credit agencies, I noticed an inquiry made by your organization.

The details of the HARD inquiries are as follows: Trans Union 4/30

Please note that before making this request, I called your credit department and was given a complete run a round.

I have not approved your organization, any person associated with your organization, to make such an inquiry. This violates the Fair Credit Reporting Act, Section 1681b(c): Transactions Not Initiated by Consumer. I also demand that you remove my personal information from your records. Please send written confirmation that you have complied.

If you believe that you posses sufficient <u>signed document</u> that supports your authorization to make the inquiry, please forward a copy so that I may verify its validity.

Your failure to comply with this request shall result in legal action.

Regards, Robert Novak 631.338.1048